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The specific bonding of DNA base pairs provides the chemical foundation for genetics. This powerful molecular recognition system can be used in nanotechnology to direct the assembly of highly structured materials with specific nanoscale features, as well as in DNA computation to process complex information.

The exploitation of DNA for material purposes presents a new chapter in the history of the molecule.

The DNA molecule has appealing features for use in nanotechnology: its minuscule size, with a diameter of about 2 nanometers, its short structural repeat (helical pitch) of about 3.4–3.6 nm, and its ‘stiffness’ with a persistence length (a measure of stiffness) of around 50 nm. There are two basic types of nanotechnological construction: ‘top-down’ systems are where microscopic manipulations of small numbers of atoms or molecules fashion elegant patterns (for example, see ref. 2), while in ‘bottom-up’ constructions, many molecules self-assemble in parallel steps, as a function of their molecular recognition properties. As a chemically based assembly system, DNA will be a key player in bottom-up nanotechnology.

The origins of this approach date to the early 1970s, when in vitro genetic manipulation was first performed by tacking together molecules with ‘sticky ends’. A sticky end is a short single-stranded overhang protruding from the end of a double-stranded helical DNA molecule. Like flaps of Velcro, two molecules with complementary sticky ends — that is, their sticky ends have complementary arrangements of the nucleotide bases adenine, cytosine, guanine and thymine — will cohere to form a molecular complex.

Sticky-ended cohesion is arguably the best example of programmable molecular recognition; there is significant diversity possible in sticky ends for N base-sticky ends, and the products formed at this site of cohesion is the classic DNA double helix. Likewise, the convenience of solid support-based DNA synthesis makes it easy to program diverse sequences of sticky ends. Thus, sticky ends offer both predictable control of intermolecular associations and predictable geometry at the point of cohesion. Perhaps one could get more similar affinity properties from antibodies and antigens, but, in contrast to DNA sticky ends, the relative three-dimensional orientation of the antibody and the antigen would need to be determined for every new pair. The nucleic acids seem to be unique in this regard, providing a tractable, diverse, and programmable system with remarkable control over intermolecular interactions, coupled with known structures for their complexes.

Branched DNA

There is, however, a catch; the axes of DNA double helices are unbranched lines. Joining DNA molecules by sticky ends can yield longer lines, perhaps with specific components in a particular linear
or cyclic order in one dimension. Indeed, the chromosomes packed inside cells exist as just such one-dimensional arrays. But to produce interesting materials from DNA, synthesis is required in multiple dimensions and, for this purpose, branched DNA is required.

Branched DNA occurs naturally in living systems, as ephemeral intermediates formed when chromosomes exchange information during meiosis, the type of cell division that generates the sex cells (eggs and sperm). Prior to cell division, homologous chromosomes pair, and the aligned strands of DNA break and literally cross over one another, forming structures called Holliday junctions. This exchange of adjacent sequences by homologous chromosomes — a process called recombination — during the formation of sex cells passes genetic diversity onto the next generation.

The Holliday junction contains four DNA strands (each member of a pair of aligned homologous chromosomes is composed of two DNA strands) bound together to form four double-helical arms flanking a branch point (Fig. 1a). The branch point can relocate throughout the molecule, by virtue of the homologous sequences. In contrast, synthetic DNA complexes can be designed to have fixed branch points containing between three and at least eight arms. Thus, the prescription for using DNA as the basis for complex materials with nanoscale features is simple: take synthetic branched DNA molecules with programmed sticky ends, and get them to self-assemble into the desired structure, which may be a closed object or an acrystalline array (Fig. 1a).

Other modes of nucleic acid interaction aside from sticky ends are available. For example, Tecto-RNA molecules, held together by loop-loop interactions, or paranemic crossover (PX) DNA, where cohesion derives from pairing of alternate half turns in inter-wrapped double helices. These new binding modes represent programmable cohesive interactions between cyclic single-stranded molecules that do not require cleavage to expose bases to pair molecules together. Nevertheless, cohesion using sticky ends remains the most prominent intermolecular interaction in structural DNA nanotechnology.

**DNA constructions**

It is over a decade since the construction of the first artificial DNA structure, a stick-cube, whose edges are double helices (Fig. 1b). More complex polyhedra and topological constructs, such as knots and Borromean rings (consisting of three intricately interlinked circles), followed. But the apparent floppiness of individual branched junctions led to a hiatus before the next logical step: self-assembly into two-dimensional arrays.

This step required a stiffer motif, as it was difficult to build a periodic well-structured array with marshmallow-like components,
even with a well-defined blueprint (sticky-ended specificity) for their assembly. The stiffer motif was provided by the DNA double-crossover (DX) molecule, analogous, once again, to the double Holliday-junction intermediate formed during meiosis (MDX, Fig. 2a). This stiff molecule contains two double helices connected to each other twice through crossover points. It is possible to program DX molecules to produce a variety of patterned two-dimensional arrays just by controlling their sticky ends (Fig. 2b).

**DNA nanomachines**

In addition to objects and arrays, a number of DNA-based nanomechanical devices have been made. The first device consisted of two DX molecules connected by a shaft with a special sequence that could be converted from a normal right-handed DNA (known as B-DNA) to an unusual left-handed conformation, known as Z-DNA. The two DX molecules lie on one side of the shaft before conversion and on opposite sides after conversion, which leads to a rotation. The problem with this device is that it is activated by a small molecule, Co(NH$_3$)$_6^{3+}$, and with all devices sharing the same stimulus, an ordered collection of DX molecules would not produce a diversity of responses.

This problem was solved by Bernard Yurke and colleagues, who developed a protocol for a sequence-control device that has a tweezers-like motion. The principle behind the device is that a so-called ‘set’ strand containing a non-pairing extension hybridizes to a DNA-paired structural framework and sets a conformation; another strand that is complementary to the ‘set’ strand is then added, which binds to both the pairing and non-pairing portions, and removes it from the structure, leaving only the framework.

A robust rotary device was developed based on this principle, in which different set strands can enter and set the conformations to different structural end-states. In this way, the conformation of the DNA device can readily be flipped back and forth simply by adding different set strands followed by their complements. A variety of different devices can be controlled by a diverse group of set strands.
DNA as a scaffold

What is the purpose of constructing DNA arrays and nanodevices? One prominent goal is to use DNA as scaffolding to organize other molecules. For example, it may be possible to use self-assembled DNA lattices (crystals) as platforms to position biological macromolecules so as to study their structure by X-ray crystallography (Fig. 4a). This towards this goal, programming of DNA has been used to bring protein molecules in proximity with each other to fuse multiple enzymatic activities. However, the potential of this approach awaits the successful self-assembly of three-dimensional crystals.

Another goal is to use DNA crystals to assemble nanoelectronic components in two- or three-dimensional arrays (Fig. 4b). DNA has been shown to organize metallic nanoparticles as a precursor to nanoelectronic assembly, but so far it has not been possible to produce multidimensional arrays containing nanoelectronic components with the high structural order of the naked DNA arrays described earlier.

There has been some controversy over whether DNA can be used as an electrical conductor (for example, ref. 23), although the resolution of the high-structural order of the naked DNA arrays described earlier. Multidimensional arrays containing nanoelectronic components with the high structural order of the naked DNA arrays described earlier will provide a system with a vast number of distinct, program-able systems. Combining sequence-dependent devices with nanoscale prototyped — it is now time to extend and integrate them into useful and operational systems. Many separate capabilities of DNA nanotechnology have been prototyped — it is now time to extend and integrate them into useful systems.

Replicating DNA components

A natural question to ask of any assembly system based on DNA is whether the components can be replicated. To produce branched DNA molecules whose branch points do not move, they must have different sequences in opposite branches but, as a consequence, these sequences are not readily reproduced by DNA polymerase; the polymerase would produce complements to all strands present, leading only to double helical molecules. One option is to use topological tricks to convert structures like the DNA cube into a long single strand by adding extra stretches of DNA bases. The single strand could then be replicated by DNA polymerase and the final replicated product induced to fold into the original shape, with any extraneous segments cleaved using restriction enzymes. Although this would produce a molecule with sticky ends ready to participate in self-assembly, it would be cumbersome some process.

Günter von Kiedrowski and colleagues have recently developed a way of replicating short, simple DNA branches in a mixed organic-DNA species. Their branched molecule consists of three DNA single strands bonded to an organic triangle-shaped linker. To replicate the branched molecule, the single-stranded complement of each of these strands is bound to the molecule, so that one end of each complement molecule is close to the other end of the other complement molecule. In the final step, the juxtaposed complements are connected together by bonding their neighboring ends to another molecule of the organic linker. Extension of this system to the next level, such as objects like the cube, will need to solvate topological problems involved in the separation of the two components, or it will be limited to unligated systems.

Future prospects

Many separate capabilities of DNA nanotechnology have been prototyped — it is now time to extend and integrate them into useful systems. Combining sequence-dependent devices with nanoscale arrays will provide a system with a vast number of distinct, programmable structural states, thus eponym of nanorobotics. A key step in realizing these goals is to achieve highly ordered three-dimensional arrays, both periodic and, ultimately, algorithmic.

Interfacing with top-down nanotechnology will extend markedly the capabilities of the field. It also will be necessary to integrate biological macromolecules or other macromolecular complexes into DNA arrays in order to make practical systems with nanoscale components. Likewise, the inclusion of electronic components in highly ordered arrays will enable the organization of nanoelectronic circuits. Chemical function could be added to DNA arrays by adding nucleic acid species evolved in vitro to have specific binding properties (‘aptamers’) or enzymatic activities (‘ribozymes’ or ‘DNAzymes’). A further area that has yet to have an impact on DNA nanotechnology is

### Box 1 DNA computers

An assembly of DNA strands can process data in a similar way as an electronic computer, and has the potential to solve far more complex problems and store a greater amount of information, for substantially less energy costs than do electronic microprocessors. DNA-based computation dates from Leonard Adleman’s landmark report in 1994 (ref. 27), where he used DNA to solve the ‘Hamiltonian path’ problem, a variant of the ‘travelling salesman’ problem. The idea is to establish whether there is a path between two cities, given an incomplete set of available roads. Adleman used strands of DNA to represent cities and roads, and encoded the sequences so that a strand representing a road would connect (according to the rules of base pairing) to any two strands representing a city. By mixing together the strands, joining the cities connected by roads, and weeding out any ‘wrong answers’, he showed that the strands could self-assemble to solve the problem.

It is impossible to separate DNA nanotechnology from DNA-based computation: many researchers work in both fields and the two communities have a symbiotic relationship. The first link between DNA computation and DNA nanotechnology was established by Erik Winfree, who suggested that short branched DNA molecules could be ‘programmed’ to undergo algorithmic self-assembly and thus serve as the basis of computation. Periodic building blocks of matter, such as the DNA molecules shown in Fig. 1a, represent the simplest algorithm for assembly. All components are parallel, so what is on one side of a component is also on the other side, and in every direction. Given this parallelism, if the right side complements the left, the top complements the bottom and the front complements the back, a crystal should result. Even more complex algorithms are possible if one uses components of the same shape, but with different sticky ends. For example, Winfree has shown that, in principle, DNA tiles can be used to ‘count’ (see figure below) by creating borders with programmable sizes for one-, two- and possibly three-dimensional assemblies. If this scheme can be realized, self-assembly of precisely sized nanoscale arrays will be possible. A computation using self-assembly has been prototyped in one dimension, thereby lending some credence to the viability of algorithmic assembly.

---

**Box 1 Figure** Counting with self-assembled DNA tiles. DNA tiles are represented by squares with coloured edges that are protruded or indented. Seven component tiles are shown on the left: three border tiles on the bottom and four tiles with the values 0 or 1. The array illustrates binary counting from 1 (bottom row) to 12 (top row). Assembly is assumed to proceed by forming the reverse L-shaped border first, followed by binding the tiles that fit into the sites containing two (but not one) edges. Thus, the border determines the 1 tile in its bend, then that 1 tile and the horizontal-border tile on its left determine the 0 tile that fits, while the 1 tile and the vertical-border tile above it determine the (different) 0 tile that fits. (Adapted from ref. 29.)
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Knowledge of the structure of DNA enabled scientists to undertake the difficult task of deciphering the detailed molecular mechanisms of two dynamic processes that are central to life: the copying of the genetic information by DNA replication, and its reassortment and repair by DNA recombination. Despite dramatic advances towards this goal over the past five decades, many challenges remain for the next generation of molecular biologists.

“Though facts are inherently less satisfying than the intellectual conclusions drawn from them, their importance should never be questioned.”
James D. Watson, 2002.

DNA carries all of the genetic information for life. One enormouslly long DNA molecule forms each of the chromosomes of an organism, 23 of them in a human. The fundamental living unit is the single cell. A cell gives rise to many more cells through serial replications of a process known as cell division. Before each division, new copies must be made of each of the many molecules that form the cell, including the duplication of all DNA molecules. DNA replication is the name given to this duplication process, which enables an organism’s genetic information — its genes — to be passed to the two daughter cells created when a cell divides. Only slightly less central to life is a process that requires dynamic DNA acrobatics, called homologous DNA recombination, which reshuffles the genes on chromosomes. In reactions closely linked to DNA replication, the recombination machinery also repairs damage that inevitably occurs to the long, fragile DNA molecules inside cells (see article in this issue by Friedberg, page 436).

The model for the DNA double helix proposed by James Watson and Francis Crick is based on two paired DNA strands that are complementary in their nucleotide sequence. The model had striking implications for the processes of DNA replication and DNA recombination. Before 1953, there had been no meaningful way of even speculating about the molecular mechanisms of these two central genetic processes. But the proposal that each nucleotide in one strand of DNA was tightly base-paired with its complementary nucleotide on the opposite strand — either adenine (A) with thymine (T), or guanine (G) with cytosine (C) — meant that any part of the nucleotide sequence could act as a direct template for the corresponding portion of the other strand. As a result, any part of the sequence could be used either to create or recognize its partner nucleotide sequence — the two functions that are central for DNA replication and DNA recombination, respectively.

In this review, I discuss how the discovery of the structure of DNA half a century ago opened new avenues for understanding the processes of DNA replication and recombination. I shall also emphasize how, as our understanding of complex biological molecules and their interactions increased over the years, there have been profound changes in the way that biologists view the chemistry of life.

Structural features of DNA

The research that immediately followed the discovery of the double helix focused primarily on understanding the structural properties...